

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,421	01/21/2004	Chia-Lung Kuo	MR2713-60	1216
4586	7590 06/22/2004		EXAMINER	
	RG, KLEIN & LEE	EVANS. GEOFFREY S		
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043		ULLE 101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER ·
	•		1725	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	}_		
	10/760,421	KUO ET AL.	 .		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Geoffrey S Evans	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bur		eceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.			
	,				
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	(08) 5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>.</u>			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040619			

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Admitted Prior Art in view of Sakanishi et al. in Japan Patent No. 1-222,823 and Nakamura et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,216,513 B1. The Admitted Prior Art as disclosed on page 1 lines 12-22 and figures 8-11 has a machine having an upper surface and a lower surface, a feeding portion disclosed on the upper surface, said feeding portion having a downward surface; a receiving portion disposed on said lower surface; a working zone between said feeding portion and said receiving portion; a wire electrode having two ends and an outer periphery. Sakanishi et al. teaches using forming rollers (elements14) to create a to create a wire (see figure 5) with a substantially rectangular

cross section in order to more accurately machine grooves. Nakamura teaches using rollers spaced by an adjustable working distance (e.g. see last sentence of abstract) to create rectangular wires of varying sizes and using a motor for revolving the forming rolls (e.g. see element 58 in figure 14B). It would have been obvious to adapt the Admitted Prior Art in view of Sakanishi et al. and Nakamura et al. to provide a wire electrode with a cross section having two substantially parallel surfaces, a working width between the two parallel surfaces being smaller than said diameter to more accurately cut grooves and to have an adjustable working distance between the forming rollers so that wire electrodes of varying sizes can be used (wire electrodes smaller in cross section can machine more accurately but are more likely to break during machining).

- 4. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Groos in U.S. Patent No. 4,766,280 uses a wire electrode that is polygonal in shape, and then twisted. Stetler in U.S. Patent No. 6,737,602 B2 using forming rollers in an electric discharge machine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S Evans whose telephone number is (571)-272-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30AM to 4:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,421

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571)-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)/972-9306.

GSE

Geoffrey S. Evans
Primary Examiner
Group 1700